On the Date of the Incription of Ligor B

by Prof. Boechari

The inscription of Ligor¹ has been much discussed ever since its first publication in G. Coedès article on the kingdom of Sriwijaya (Coedès, 1918). It is written on both sides of the stèle. On the first side, known as "Ligor A", is a complete inscription of 29 lines dated 697 Saka (775 AD). A promulgation of an unnamed king of Sriwijaya, it commemorates his order to build three brick temples for the worship of Buddha, Padmapani, and Vajrapani and three stupas for the rajasthavira Jayanta. mentions that after the death of Jayanta, his disciple, Adhimukti,

The other side, "Ligor B", has only four lines composed of one Sragdhara strophe and an incomplete strophe of three words. Its unfinished state aroused much controversy among scholars. The dispute centers on the relation between Ligor A and Ligor B, the date of Ligor B, and the number of kings mentioned in the first strophe, whether one or two (Bosch, 1941; 1952; de Casparis, 1950; Chhabra, 1935; Coedès, 1934; 1950; 1957; 1959; Krom, 1919; Majumdar, 1933; Moens, 1937; van

erected two caity as near these sanc-

tuaries.

Mr. Boechari is an epigraphist at the Jok Sastra Universitas Indonesia in Jakarta.

Why Ligor B was left unfinished remains the major question to be answered.

Naerssen, 1947; Perbatjaraka, 1958; and Stutterheim, 1929).

It is superflous to survey all those articles here. Instead, another interpretation using a starting point different from that used by earlier writers will be discussed.

Translation of the Inscription

The text of Ligor B according to the metre runs as follows:

yo' sau rājādhirājas sakalaripuganadhvāntasūryyopamaikas svaujobhih kantalaksmya saradamalaśaśi manmatabho vapusman visnvákhyo sesasarvvárimadavimathanas cadvitīyas svasaktya sau yas sailendravamsaprabhavanagaditah śrī maharajanama

tasya ca sakalara.....2

The 2nd strophe begins with Tasya which means his. It, certainly refers to the person mentioned in the preceding strophe. Since tasya is a third person singular, unquestionably only one person is mentioned in the first strophe, viz. Sri Maharaja of the Sailendravamsa, the Supreme King of Kings, who

was compared with the sun, the moon, god Kama and Visnu, and was the killer of all his proud enemies without exception. He is certainly identical with Sri Viravairimathana of the inscription of Nalanda (Bosch, 1925; Gonda, 1948, pp. 28-34) and Vairivaraviravimardana of the inscription of Kelurak (Bosch, 1928).3

Here is the translation of the

He, the supreme king of kings, who, with his own splendour is unique like the sun dispelling the darkness in the form of the hosts of all his enemies,

who, with his charming beauty is like the spotless autumnal moon, having a body like Manmatha (who is like Manmatha incarnate)

who is renowned like (god) Visnu without a second, who, with his own strength annihilates all his proud enemies without exception,

he is called sri maharaja because it is said that his origin is the sailendravamsa.

And his who all

The word tasva clearly indicates that the inscription of Ligor B was supposed to start with a genealogy. Up to now only one inscription contains a genealogy starting with "the Killer of proud enemies", viz. the inscription of Nalanda. In this incription Balaputradewa, King

Ligor B, written on order of Balaputradewa, was added to Ligor A some 75 years later.

of Suvarnadvipa, (suvarnadvipadhipamaharaja) boasted of his descent from the "Jewel of the Sailendravamsa, king of Java, killer of his heroic enemies" (sailendravamsatilako yavabhumipalah sriviravairimathananugatabhidhanah). This "Killer of enemies" had a son, Samaragravira, who married Tara, daughter of King Dharmmasetu of the Somavamsa. From this wedlock was born Balaputradewa.

Script of Ligor B

This part of the Nalanda inscription leads us to conclude that the 2nd strophe of Ligor B was to mention Samaragravira. It should read: tasya ca sakalara/ja sunur...... samaragravirah/, or tasya ca sakalara/ jya... sunur..... samaragravirah/ (= And his son, who all kings, or all kingdoms, was called Samaragravira). Bosch and Coedès already thought of this possibility (Bosch, 1952; Coedes, 1957). Both scholars opined that Ligor B was associated with the marriage of Samaragravira with Tara. Coedes thought that Ligor B was to commemorate a donation to the sanctuaries built by the king of Sriwijaya, mentioned in Ligor A, either by Samaragravira himself or by his father, the "Killer of all his enemies" (Coedes, 1957). In other words, he assumed that Ligor B was promulgated by the Javanese Sailendra king, "Killer of all his enemies", shortly after 782 A.D., or by Samaragravira or Samaratungga some time later than that date.

Paleographically, there is no problem at all to justify that assumption. It is now unanimously accepted that Ligor B was written by another hand, some time after Ligor A. The differences in the script and the mode of writing of the two inscriptions are very slight as to warrant a difference of time

of one generation at the most.

However the possibility that Ligor B was written by order of Balaputradewa exists, so the genealogy would run like that in the inscription of Nalanda. It must thus have been added to Ligor A some 75 years later, in the middle of the IXth century A.D. But a serious objection arose from palaeographic Compared with considerations. Old-Javanese script from the same period, e.g. the script of Rakai Kayuwangi's inscriptions, the script of Ligor B indeed looks more archaic.

Content of Ligor B

Why should comparisons made between paleographic developments in the Malay Peninsula/ the Isthmus and in Java? An experienced epigraphist can see at first glance whether an inscription although dating from the same period, comes from the island of Bali or from Central Java. The script of the inscription of Batu Tulis in West Java differs considerably from that of Majapahit dating from the 14th century A.D. On the other hand, a very slight difference between the script of Bameswara's inscriptions (1117-1120 A.D.) and that of Krtajaya's (1194-1205 A.D.) can be seen. Nevertheless the possibility of Balaputradewa ordering his scribe to imitate as closely as possible the script of Ligor A should be considered.

Historically, it is more acceptable to forward the opinion that Ligor B was promulgated by Balaputradewa. Being the first Sailendra king on the throne of Sriwijaya, he must have felt obliged to show his rights to the people of the Isthmus. He had his genealogy written down at the back of Ligor A. The inscription was to commemorate perhaps his donations to the sanc-

tuaries built by his maternal grandfather.

Yet, a problem still remains. Why was the inscription of Ligor B left unfinished?

Footnotes

¹ We still retain the name given to inscription, which is already generally known, although there is also reason to suppose that this inscription originates from Wat Hua Vieng in Chaiya (Chand, 1974, pp. 292-294).

We incorporate here emendations proposed by Chhabra and by

Coedes.

³ That only one person is mentioned in the first strophe of Ligor B was already put forward by Poerbatjaraka.

References

Bosch, F.D.K., Dr.

1925. De oorhonde van het groote klooster te Nalanda. **TBG**., LXV, pp. 509 – 588.

1928. De inscriptie van Keloerak. **TBG**., LXVIII, pp. 1 – 64.

1941. De inscriptie van Ligor. **TBG**., LXXXI, pp. 26-38.

1952. Crivijaya, de Cailendra- en de Sanjayavamça. **BKI.**, deel 108, pp. 113 — 123.

Casparis, J.G. de,

1950. Inscripties uit de Cailendra-tijd. **Prasasti Indonesia**, vol. I. (A.C. Nix £ Co., Bandung).

Chand Chirayu Rajani, M.C.,

1974. Review article. Background of the Sri Vijaya Story. part II. **JSS**., vol. 62, part 2, pp. 285 – 324.

Chhabra, B. Ch.,

1935. Expansion of Indo-Aryan culture during Pallava rule.

JASB., Letters, vol. I.

Coedes, G.,

1918. Le royaume de Crivijaya. **BEFEO**., tome XVIII, no. 6, pp. 1 – 36.

1934. On the origin of the Cailendras of Indonesia. **JGIS.**, vol. I, pp. 66 – 70.

Coedes, G.,

1950. Le Cailendra "Tueur des

Continued on page 49